

Honing

Your

Kojo

Chops

RaneNote 134

Signal Processing Basics

Sound Crossovers Equalizers Dynamic Controllers

> Dennis Bohn ©1997 Rane Corporation

Screaming To Be Heard

In space, no one can hear you scream — because there is no air or other medium for sound to travel. Sound needs a medium: an intervening substance through which it can travel from point to point; it must be carried on something. That something can be solid, liquid or gas. They can hear you scream underwater — briefly. Water is a medium. Air is a medium. Nightclub walls are a medium. Sound is a vibration that spreads out from the source, creating a series of expanding shells of high pressure and low pressure ... high pressure ... low pressure ... high pressure ... low pressure. Moving ever outward these cycles of alternating pressure zones travel until finally dissipating, or reflecting off surfaces (nightclub walls), or passing through boundaries, or getting absorbed - usually a combination of all three. Left unobstructed, sound travels outward, but not forever. The air (or other medium) robs the sound of its power as it passes. The price of passage: the medium absorbs its energy. This power loss is experienced as a reduction in how loud it is (the term *loudness* is used to describe how loud it is from moment to moment) as the signal travels away from its source. The loudness of the signal is reduced by onefourth for each doubling of distance from the source. This means that it is 6 dB less loud as you double your distance from it.

How do we create sound, and how do we capture sound? We do this using opposite sides of the same electromagnetic coin. Electricity and magnetism are kinfolk: If you pass a coil of wire through a magnetic field, electricity is generated within the coil. Turn the coin over and flip it again: If you pass electricity through a coil of wire, a magnetic field is generated. Move the magnet, get a voltage; apply a voltage, create a magnet — this is the essence of all electromechanical objects.

Microphones and loudspeakers are electromechanical objects. At their hearts there is a coil of wire (the voice coil) and a magnet (the magnet). Speaking causes sound vibrations to travel outward from your mouth. Speaking into a moving-coil (aka dynamic) microphone causes the voice coil to move within a magnetic field. This causes a voltage to be developed and a current to flow proportional to the sound — sound has been captured. At the other end of the chain, a voltage is applied to the loudspeaker voice coil causing a current to flow which produces a magnetic field that makes the cone move proportional to the audio signal applied — sound has been created. The microphone translates sound into an electrical signal, and the loudspeaker translates an electrical signal into sound. One capturing, the other creating. Everything in-between is just details. And in case you're wondering, yes, turned around, a microphone can be a loudspeaker (that makes teeny tiny sounds), and a loudspeaker can be a microphone (if you SHOUT REALLY LOUD).

Simple Division

Loudspeaker *crossovers* are a necessary evil. A different universe, a different set of physics and maybe we could have what we want: one loudspeaker that reproduces all audio frequencies equally well, with no distortion, at loudness levels adequate for whatever venue we play. Well, we live here, and our system of physics does not allow such extravagance. The hard truth is, no one loudspeaker can do it all. We need at least two kinds — more if we can afford them. *Woofers and tweeters*. A big woofer for the lows and a little tweeter for the highs. This is known as a *2-way system* (see diagram). But with two speakers, the correct frequencies must be routed (or *crossed over*) to each loudspeaker.

Passive

At the simplest level a crossover is a *passive* network. A passive network is one not needing a power supply to operate — if it has a line cord, or runs off batteries, then it is not a passive circuit. The simplest passive crossover network consists of only two components: a *capacitor* connecting to the high frequency driver and an *inductor* (aka a *coil*) connecting to the low frequency driver. A *capacitor* is an electronic component that passes high frequencies (the passband) and blocks low frequencies (the stopband): an inductor does just the opposite: it passes low frequencies and blocks high frequencies. As the frequency changes, neither component reacts suddenly. They do it gradually; they slowly start to pass (or stop passing) their respective frequencies. The rate at which this occurs is called the crossover slope. It is measured in *dB* per octave, or shortened to *dB*/oct. The slope increases or decreases so many dB/oct. At the simplest level, each component gives you a 6 dB/oct slope (a physical fact of our universe). Again, at the simplest level, adding more components increases the slope in 6 dB increments, creating slopes of 12 dB/oct. 18 dB/oct, 24 dB/oct, and so on. The number of components, or 6 dB slope increments, is called the crossover order. Therefore, a 4th-order crossover has (at least) four components, and produces steep slopes of 24 dB/oct. The steeper the better for most drivers, since speakers only perform well for a certain band of frequencies; beyond that they misbehave, sometimes badly. Steep slopes prevent these frequencies from getting to the driver.

Combining capacitors and inductors creates a third path that eliminates the highest highs and the lowest lows, and forms a *mid-frequency* crossover section. This is naturally called a *3-way system*. (See diagram) The "mid" section forms a *bandpass* filter, since it only passes a specific frequency band. A 3-way system allows optimizing each driver for a narrower band of frequencies, producing a better overall sound.

So why don't more musicians use more passive boxes?





Passive 2-Way Crossover

Zyzzyvas in the Garden

The single biggest problem is that one passive cabinet (or a pair) won't play loud enough and clean enough for large spaces. If you're always going to perform in your bedroom or garage, passive systems work just fine maybe even better. But you're not. And once you try to fill a relatively large space with equally loud sound you start to understand the problems. We're not talking stadiums here, just normal size clubs. It is really difficult to produce the required loudness with passive boxes. Life would be a lot easier if you could just jack everyone into their own cans amp — like a bunch of Mojo Series MH 4 Headphone Amps scattered throughout the audience. Let them do the work; then everyone could hear equally well, and choose their own listening level. But life is hard, and headphone amps must be restricted to practice and recording.

Your monitor speakers on the other hand most likely have passive crossovers. Again, it's a matter of distance and loudness. Monitors are usually close and not overly loud — too loud and they will feed back into your microphone or be heard along with the main mix — not good. Monitor speakers are similar to hi-fi speakers, where passive designs dominate — because of the relatively small listening areas. It is quite easy to fill small listening rooms with pristine sounds even at ear-splitting levels. But move these same speakers into your local club and they will sound thin, dull and lifeless. Not only will they not play loud enough, but they may *need* the sonic benefits of sound bouncing off close walls to reinforce and fill the direct sound. In large venues, these walls are way too far away to benefit anyone.

So why not use a *bunch* of passive boxes? You can, and some people do. However, it only works for a couple of cabinets. Even so, you won't be able to get the high loudness levels if the room is large. Passive systems can only be optimized so much.

Once you start needing multiple cabinets, active crossovers become necessary. To get good coverage of similar frequencies, you want to stack similar drivers. This prevents using passive boxes since each one contains (at least) a high-frequency driver and a low-frequency driver. It's easiest to put together a sound system when each cabinet covers only one frequency range. For instance, for a nice sounding 3-way system, you would have big lowfrequency boxes, then medium-sized mid-frequency boxes and finally small high-frequency boxes. These would be stacked — or hung, or both — in some sort of an *array*. A loudspeaker array is the optimum stacking shape for each set of cabinets to give the best combined coverage and overall sound. You've no doubt seen many different array shapes. There are tall towers, high walls, and all sorts of polyhedrons and arcs. The only efficient way to do this is with active crossovers.

Some smaller systems combine active and passive boxes. Even within a single cabinet it is common to find an active crossover used to separate the low- and midfrequency drivers, while a built-in passive network is used for the high-frequency driver. This is particularly common for super-tweeters operating over the last audio octave. At the other end, an active crossover often is used to add a



Active 2-Way Crossover



Active 3-Way Crossover

subwoofer to a passive 2-way system. All combinations are used, but each time a passive crossover shows up, it arrives with baggage.

Inside one of these bags is power loss. Passive networks waste valuable power. That extra power that you need to make the drivers louder, instead boils off the components and comes out of the box as heat — not sound. Ergo, passive units make you bring a lot bigger amp to the party than you want to carry.

A couple of additional problems packed with each passive network is due to their *impedance*. Impedance is the stuff that restricts power transfer; it's like resistance, only frequency sensitive. In order for the passive network to work exactly right, the *source impedance* (the amplifier's output plus the wiring impedance) must be as close to zero as possible and not frequency-dependent, and the *load impedance* (the loudspeaker's characteristics) must be fixed and not frequency-dependent (sorry not in *this* universe; only on *Star Trek*). Since these things are not possible, the passive network must be (at best), a simplified and compromised solution to a very complex problem. Consequently, the crossover's behavior changes with frequency — *not* something you want for a good sounding system.

One last thing to make matters worse. There is something called *back-emf* (back-*electromotive force*: literally, *back-voltage*) which further contributes to poor sounding speaker systems. This is the phenomena where, after the signal stops, the speaker cone continues moving, causing the voice coil to move through the magnetic field (now acting like a microphone), creating a *new* voltage that tries to drive the cable back to the amplifier's output! If the speaker is allowed to do this, the cone flops around like a dying fish. It does *not* sound good. The only way to stop back-emf is to make the loudspeaker "see" a dead short, i.e., zero ohms looking backward, or as close to it as possible — something that's not gonna happen with a passive network slung between it and the power amp.

All this, and not to mention that inductors saturate at high signal levels causing distortion — another reason you can't get enough loudness. Or that it is almost impossible to get high-quality steep slopes passively, so the response suffers. Or that inductors are way too good at picking up local radio, TV, emergency, and cellular broadcasts, and joyfully mixing them into your audio.

Such is life with passive speaker systems.

Active

Active crossover networks require a power supply to operate and usually come packaged in single-space rackmount units. (Although of late, *powered loudspeakers* with built-in active crossovers and power amplifiers are becoming increasingly popular.) The diagrams on the previous page show how active crossovers differ from their passive cousins. For a 2-way system instead of one power amp, you now have two, but *they can be smaller for the same loudness level*. How *much* smaller depends on the *sensitivity* rating of the drivers (more on this later). Likewise a 3-way system requires three power amps. You also see and hear the terms *bi-amped* and *tri-amped* applied to 2- and 3-way systems.

Active crossovers cure many ills of the passive systems. Since the crossover filters themselves are safely tucked away inside their own box, away from the driving and loading impedance problems plaguing passive units, they can be made to behave in an almost mathematically perfect manner. Extremely steep, smooth and wellbehaved crossover slopes are easily achieved by active circuitry.

There are no amplifier power loss problems, since active circuits operate from their own low voltage power supplies. And with the inefficiencies of the passive network removed, the power amps more easily achieve the loudness levels you want.

Loudspeaker jitters and tremors caused by inadequately damped back-emf all but disappear once the passive network is removed. What remains is the amplifier's inherent output impedance and that of the connecting wire. Here's where the term *damping factor* comes up. (Note that the word is damp-*ing*, not damp*ning* as is so often heard; impress your friends.) Damping is a measure of a system's ability to control the motion of the loudspeaker cone after the signal disappears. Bye, bye, dying fish.

Siegfried & Russ

Active crossovers go by many names. First they are either 2-way or 3-way (or even 4-way and 5-way). Then there is the slope rate and order of 24 dB/oct (4th-order), or 18 dB/oct (3rd-order), and so on. And finally there is a name for the *kind* of design. The two most common being *Linkwitz-Riley* and *Butterworth*, named after Siegfried Linkwitz and Russ Riley who first proposed this application, and Stanley Butterworth who first described the response in 1930. Up until the mid '80s, the 3rdorder (18 dB/oct) Butterworth design dominated, but still had some problems. Since then, the development (pioneered by Rane and Sundholm) of the 4th-order (24 dB/ oct) Linkwitz-Riley design solved these problems, and today is the norm.

What this adds up to is active crossovers **rule**. Luckily, the hardest thing about an active crossover is getting the money to buy one. At the most basic level all you really need from an active crossover are two things: to let you set the correct crossover point, and to let you balance driver levels. That's all. The first is done by consulting the loudspeaker manufacturer's data sheet, and dialing it in on the front panel. (That's assuming a complete factory-made 2-way box, for example. If the box is homemade, then both drivers must be carefully selected so they have the same crossover frequency, otherwise a severe response problem can result.) Balancing levels is necessary because high frequency drivers are more efficient than low frequency drivers. This means that if you put the same amount of power into each driver, one will sound louder than the other. The one that is the most efficient plays louder. Several methods to balance drivers are always outlined in any good owner's manual.

Frequency Equalizers

You may have heard it said that equalizers are nothing more than glorified tone controls. That's pretty accurate and helps explain their usefulness and importance. Simply put, equalizers allow you to change the tonal balance of whatever you are controlling. You can increase (boost) or decrease (cut) on a band-by-band basis just the desired frequencies. Equalizers come in all different sizes and shapes, varying greatly in design and complexity. Select from a simple single-channel unit with 10 controls on 1octave frequency spacing (mono 10-band octave equal*izer*), all the way up to a full-featured, two-channel box with 30 controls on 1/3-octave frequency spacing (stereo 1/3-oct equalizer). There are graphic models with slide controls (*sliders*) that roughly "graph" the equalizer's frequency response by the shape they form, and there are *parametric* models where you choose the frequency, amplitude, and bandwidth desired (see diagram) for each band provided. Far and away, the simplest and most popular are the 1/3- and 2/3-octave graphics. They offer the best combination of control, complexity and cost.

In selecting graphic equalizers, the primary features to consider are the number of input/output channels, the number of boost/cut bands, the center-frequency spacing of each, and the bandwidth behavior. This last one may at first seem a bit odd, but it is perhaps the most important characteristic. Bandwidth behavior is either constant-Q or variable-Q (see diagrams). The quality factor, or Q, of a circuit relates to its bandwidth in an inverse manner. That is, narrow bandwidths result from high-Q circuits, and wide bandwidths come from low-Q circuits. In the early '80s, Rane developed the first constant-Q designs to preserve the same shape (bandwidth) over the entire boost/cut range. In contrast, variable-Q designs have varying bandwidths (the shape changes) as a function of boost/cut amount. They start out very wide for small amplitude changes and become guite narrow for large changes. Rane's constant-Q design won big time, and changed the industry.



Bandpass Filter Parameters

Dominate Your Dojo

Equalizers can do wonders for a sound system. Let's start with loudspeaker performance. An unfortunate truth regarding budget loudspeakers is they don't sound very good. Usually this is due to an uneven frequency response, or more correctly a non-flat power response. An ideal cabinet has a flat power response. This means that if you pick 1 kHz as a reference signal, use it to drive the speaker with exactly one watt, measure the loudness, and sweep the generator over the speaker's entire frequency range, all frequencies will measure equally loud. Sadly, with all but the most expensive speaker systems, they will not. Equalizers can help these frequency deficiencies. By adding a little here and taking away a little there, pretty soon you create an acceptable power response — and a whole lot better sounding system. It's surprising how just a little equalization can change a poor sounding system into something quite decent.

The best way to deal with budget speakers — although it costs more — is to commit *one* equalizer for *each*







Constant-Q Graphic

cabinet. This becomes a marriage. The equalizer is set, a security cover is bolted-on, and forever more they are inseparable. (Use additional equalizers to assist with the room problems.) Now comes the hard part, but the most important part: By doing measurements outside (no reflections off walls or ceiling) and up in the air (no reflections off the ground) you can get a very accurate picture of just the loudspeaker's response, free from room effects. This gives you the room-independent response. This is really important, because no matter where this box is used, it has these problems. Of course, the trick to winning this game is to make sure the cost of the budget speaker plus the equalizer adds up to substantially less than buying a really flat speaker system to begin with. Luckily (or should this be *sadly*) this is usually the case. Again, the truth is that most cabinets are not flat. It is only the very expensive loudspeakers that have worldclass responses. (Maybe that's why they cost so much!)

The next thing you can do with equalizers is to improve the way each venue sounds. Every room sounds different — fact of life — fact of physics. Using exactly the same equipment, playing exactly the same music in exactly the same way, different rooms sound different guaranteed. Each enclosed space treats your sound differently. Reflected sound causes the problems. What the audience hears is made up of the direct sound (what comes straight out of the loudspeaker directly to the listener) and *reflected* sound (it bounces off *everything* before getting to the listener). And if the room is big enough, then *reverberation* comes into play, which is all the reflected sound that has traveled so far, and for such a (relatively) long time that it arrives and re-arrives at the listener delayed enough to sound like a second and third source, or even an echo if the room is really big.

It's basically a geometry problem. Each room differs in its dimensions; not only in its basic length-by-width size, but in its ceiling height, the distance from you and your equipment to the audience, what's hung (or not hung), on the walls, how many windows and doors there are, and where. Every detail about the space affects your sound. And there is very little you can do about any of it. You certainly can't change the dimensions or wall construction. But there are a few things you can do, and equalization is one of them. But before you equalize you want to optimize how and where you place your speakers. This is probably the number one item to attend to. Keep your loudspeakers out of corners whenever possible. Remove all restrictions between your speakers and your audience, including banners, stage equipment, and performers. What you want is for most of the sound your audience hears to come directly from the speakers. You want to minimize all reflected sound. If you have done a good job in selecting and equalizing your loudspeakers, then you already know your direct sound is good. So what's left is to minimize the reflected sound.

Next, use equalization to help with some of the room's more troublesome features. If the room is exceptionally bright you can beef up the low end to help offset it, or roll-off some of the highs. Or if the room tends to be

Mojo-6

boomy, you can tone-down the low end to reduce the resonance. Another way EQ is guite effective is in controlling troublesome feedback tones. Feedback is that terrible squeal sound systems get when the audio from the speaker gets picked-up by one of the stage microphones, re-amplified and pumped out the speaker, only to be picked-up again by the microphone, and re-amplified, and so on. Most often, this happens when the system is playing loud. Which makes sense, because for softer sounds, the signal either isn't big enough to make it to the microphone, or if it does, it is too small to build-up. The problem is one of an out-of-control, closed-loop, positivefeedback system building up until something breaks or the audience leaves. Use your equalizer to cut those frequencies that want to howl; you not only stop the squeal, but you allow the system to play louder. This is known as maximizing system gain before feedback.

It's important to understand at the beginning that you cannot *fix* room related sound problems with equalization, but you can *move* the trouble spots around. You can *rearrange* things sonically, which helps *tame* excesses. You win by making it sound better. Equalization helps.

Equalizers are useful in augmenting your instrument or voice. With practice you will learn to equalize to enhance your sound for your best personal expression: deepen the lows, fill the middle, or exaggerate the highs — whatever you want. Just as an equalizer can improve the sound of a poor loudspeaker, it can improve the sound of a marginal microphone, or enhance any musical instrument. Equalizers give you that something extra, that *edge*. (Come on, we all know where "radio voices" *really* come from.)

Winken Blinken & Twinklen

To make loudspeaker and sound system measurements easy, you need a real-time analyzer (RTA). An RTA allows you to see the power response, not only for the loudspeaker, but even more importantly, for the whole system. Stand-alone RTAs use an LED or LCD matrix to display the response. A built-in pink noise generator (a special kind of shaped noise containing all audible frequencies, optimized for measuring sound systems) is used as the test signal. A measuring microphone is included for sampling the response. The display is arranged to show amplitude verses frequency. Depending upon cost, the number of frequency columns varies from 10 on 1-octave centers, up to 31 on 1/3-octave centers (agreeing with graphic equalizers). Amplitude range and precision varies with price. With the cost of laptop computers tumbling, the latest form of RTA involves an accessory box and software that works with your computer. These are particularly nice, and loaded with special memory, calculations and multipurpose functions like also being an elaborate SPL meter. Highly recommended if the budget allows. For a budget-effective alternative, see Rane's RA 27 for an easy to use, low-cost unit. Rane pioneered the lean and mean RTA with the RA 27s introduction in 1984. Not only was the RA 27 the first, but it remains the leader in affordable, easy-to-use, precise and reliable RTAs. Check it out. You need one.

Signal Voodoo

Dynamic controllers or dynamic processors represent a class of signal processing devices used to alter an audio signal based solely upon its *frequency content* and *amplitude level*, thus the term "dynamic" since the processing is completely program dependent. The two most common dynamic effects are *compressors* and *expanders*, with *limiters* and *noise gates* (or just "gates") being special cases of these.

The dynamic range of an audio passage is the ratio of the loudest (undistorted) signal to the quietest (just audible) signal, expressed in dB. Usually the maximum output signal is restricted by the size of the power supplies (you cannot swing more voltage than is available), while the minimum output signal is fixed by the noise floor (you cannot put out a discernable signal less than the noise). Professional analog signal processing equipment can output maximum levels of +26 dBu, with the best noise floors being down around -94 dBu. This gives a maximum dynamic range of 120 dB (equivalent to 20-bit digital audio) — pretty impressive number — but damn difficult to work with. Thus were born dynamic processors.

Squeeze It

Compressors are signal processing units used to reduce (*compress*) the dynamic range of the signal passing through them. The modern use for compressors is to turn down just the loudest signals *dynamically*. For instance, an input dynamic range of 110 dB might pass through a compressor and exit with a new dynamic range of 70 dB. This clever bit of skullduggery is normally done using a VCA (voltage controlled amplifier) whose gain is controlled by a voltage derived from the input signal. Therefore, whenever the input signal exceeds the threshold point, the voltage becomes proportional to signal dynamics. This lets the music peaks turn down the gain. Before compressors, a human did this at the mixing board and we called it *gain-riding*. This person would literally turn down the gain anytime it got too loud.

You need to reduce the dynamic range because extreme ranges of dynamic material are very difficult for sound systems to handle. If you turn it up as loud as you want for the average signals, then along comes these huge musical peaks, which are vital to the *punch* and *drama* of the music, yet are way too large for the power amps and loudspeakers to handle. Either the power amps



Gate/Expander/Compressor/Limiter Action

clip, or the loudspeakers *bottom out* (reach their travel limits), or both — and the system sounds *terrible*. Or going the other way, if you set the system gain to prevent these overload occurrences, then when things get nice and quiet, and the vocals drop real low, nobody can hear a thing. *It's always something*. So you buy a compressor.

Using it is quite simple: Set a *threshold* point, above which everything will be turned down a certain amount, and then select a *ratio* defining just how much a "certain amount" is. All audio below the threshold point is unaffected and all audio above this point is compressed by the ratio amount. The earlier example of reducing 110 dB to 70 dB requires a ratio setting of 1.6:1 (110/70 = 1.6). The key to understanding compressors is to always think in terms of *increasing level changes* **in dB** above the *threshold point*. A compressor makes these increases smaller. From our example, for every 1.6 dB *increase* above the threshold point the output only *increases* 1 dB. In this regard *compressors make loud sounds quieter*.

Some compressors include *attack* and *release* controls. The *attack time* is the amount of time that passes between the moment the input signal exceeds the threshold and the moment that the gain is actually reduced. The *release time* is just the opposite — the amount of time that passes between the moment the input signal drops below the threshold and the moment that the gain is restored. These controls are very difficult to set, and yet once set, rarely need changing. Because of this difficulty, and the terrible sounding consequences of wrong settings, Rane correctly presets these controls to cover a wide variety of music and speech — one less thing for you to worry about. (*You're welcome.*)

System overload is not the only place we find compressors. Another popular use is in the *making* of sound. For example when used in conjunction with microphones and musical instrument pick-ups, compressors help determine the final *timbre* (tone) by selectively compressing specific frequencies. Common examples are "fattening" drum sounds, increasing guitar sustain, vocal "smoothing," and "bringing up" specific sounds out of the mix, etc. It is quite amazing what a little compression can do. Check your owner's manual for more tips.

Blow It Up

Expanders are signal processing units used to increase (*expand*) the dynamic range of the signal passing through it. However, modern expanders operate only *below the set threshold point*, that is, they operate only on low-level audio. Operating in this manner they make the *quiet parts quieter*. The term *downward expander* or *downward expansion* evolved to describe this type of application. The most common use is noise reduction. For example, say, an expander's threshold level is set to be just below the quietest vocal level being recorded, and the ratio control is set for 2:1. What happens is this: when the vocals stop, the signal level drops below the set point down to the noise floor. If that threshold is, say, -10 dB,

then the expander's output attenuates 20 dB (i.e., due to the 2:1 ratio, a 10 dB decrease becomes a 20 dB decrease), resulting in a noise reduction improvement of 10 dB. It's now 10 dB quieter than without the expander. Rane's **adx** circuit in the Mojo Series MC 22 Stereo Compressor is an **a**utomatic **d**ownward e**x**pander giving you 10 dB of added noise reduction.

Step On It

Limiters are compressors with fixed *ratios* of 10:1 or greater. Here, the dynamic action prevents the audio signal from becoming any bigger than the *threshold* setting. For example, say the threshold is set for +16 dB and a musical peak suddenly comes along and causes the input to jump by 10 dB to +26 dB, the output only increases by 1 dB to +17 dB — basically remaining level. Limiters find use in preventing equipment and recording media overloads, very important in digital. A limiter is the extreme case of compression.

You will hear the term *pumping* used in conjunction with poorly designed or improperly set limiters. *Pumping* describes an audible problem caused by actually *hearing the gain change* — it makes a kind of "pumping" sound. This is particularly a problem with limiters that operate too abruptly. Rest assured that Rane limiters are designed not to have *any* audible side-effects.

Cut It Off

Noise gates (or *gates*) are expanders with fixed "infinite" downward expansion ratios. They are used extensively for controlling unwanted noise, such as preventing "open" microphones and "hot" instrument pick-ups from introducing extraneous sounds. When the incoming audio signal drops below the *threshold* point, the gate prevents further output by reducing the gain to "zero." Typically, this means attenuating all signals by about 80 dB. Once audio drops below the threshold, the output level basically turns off. Common terminology refers to the gate "opening" and "closing." A gate is the extreme case of expansion.

Just as poorly designed limiters can cause pumping, poorly designed gates can cause *breathing*. The term *breathing* is used to describe an audible problem caused by being able to hear the noise floor of a product rise and lower, sounding a lot like the unit was "breathing." It takes careful design to get all the dynamic timing exactly right so breathing does not occur. Rane works very hard to make sure all of its dynamic processors have no audible funny business.

Another popular application for noise gates is to enhance musical instrument sounds, especially percussion instruments. Correctly setting a noise gate adds "punch," or "tightens" the percussive sound, making it more pronounced — this is how Phil Collins gets his cool snare sound, for instance.

Enough talk. Time for you to go work your mojo and conjure some juju.